



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

21
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/693,044 | 10/20/2000 | Yoshihiro Okada | 49941(868) | 8505 |
| 21874 | 7590 | 06/02/2004 | EXAMINER | |
| EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205 | | | PIZIALI, JEFFREY J | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2673 | 21 |
| DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/693,044

Applicant(s)

OKADA ET AL.

Examiner

Jeff Piziali

Art Unit

2673

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 March 2004.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,6,9 and 14-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,7,8,10 and 19-23 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 11-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 19.

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 3, 6, 9, and 14-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 4 (filed 26 September 2002), and confirmed most recently in Paper No. 18 (filed 8 March 2004).
3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Drawings

4. The drawings were received on 12 September 2003. These drawings are acceptable.

Information Disclosure Statement

5. The information disclosure statement apparently filed 16 December 2003 (see Applicants' Amendment filed 8 March 2004, Paper No. 18, Page 17) is missing from the application file at present. The examiner respectfully apologizes for this paper's loss, and kindly requests the IDS, along with copies of all listed references, be re-mailed at the Applicants' convenience.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10 and 19-23 are allowed.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The present invention comprises an active-matrix liquid crystal display apparatus. The closest prior art, Takeda et al. (US 5,398,043), discloses an active-matrix substrate including a plurality of scanning electrode lines [Fig. 1, 1], a plurality of data electrode lines [Fig. 1, 2], pixel electrodes [Fig. 1, A] and switching elements [Fig. 1, 3], the pixel electrodes being respectively connected to intersections of the plurality of scanning electrode lines and the plurality of data electrode lines via the switching elements; a counter electrode substrate including a counter electrode formed thereon, the counter electrode being opposed to the pixel electrodes; a liquid crystal [Fig. 1, 7] sandwiched between the active matrix substrate and the counter electrode substrate; the active-matrix substrate further including supplementary capacitance lines which are formed in parallel to the scanning electrode lines, and supplementary capacitances [Fig. 1, 8] for holding display data which are connected between the pixel electrodes and the supplementary capacitance lines, the apparatus further comprising: a supplementary capacitance drive circuit [Fig. 1, Ve] for driving the supplementary capacitance lines so that a predetermined potential

difference between the voltage applied to the counter electrode and the voltage applied to the pixel electrodes is always maintained when any of the pixel electrodes and supplementary capacitances leaks (see Column 6, Line 21 - Column 8, Line 50).

Moreover, Applicants' own admitted prior art discloses an active-matrix liquid crystal display apparatus [Fig. 7, 1] comprising: an active-matrix substrate [Fig. 7, 2] including a plurality of scanning electrode lines [Fig. 8, 11], a plurality of data electrode lines [Fig. 8, 12], pixel electrodes [Fig. 8, 14] and switching elements [Fig. 8, 10], the pixel electrodes being respectively connected to intersections of the plurality of scanning electrode lines and the plurality of data electrode lines via the switching elements; a counter electrode substrate [Fig. 7, 3] including a counter electrode [Fig. 8, 16] formed thereon, the counter electrode being opposed to the pixel electrodes; a liquid crystal [Fig. 8, C_{LC}] sandwiched between the active matrix substrate and the counter electrode substrate; the active-matrix substrate further including supplementary capacitance lines [Fig. 8, 15] which are formed in parallel to the scanning electrode lines, and supplementary capacitances [Fig. 8, C_s] for holding display data which are connected between the pixel electrodes and the supplementary capacitance lines, the apparatus further comprising: a supplementary capacitance drive circuit [Fig. 8, C_s] for driving the supplementary capacitance lines so that a predetermined potential difference between the voltage applied to the counter electrode and the voltage applied to the pixel electrodes is always maintained [wherein, the amount that remains after V_{COM} is subtracted from V_{C_s} is always equal to zero] when any of the pixel electrodes and supplementary capacitances leaks (see Figs. 7-9 and Pages 1-6).

However, neither aforementioned reference expressly discloses a supplementary capacitance drive circuit for driving the supplementary capacitance lines based on a voltage applied to the counter electrode so that a predetermined potential difference between the voltage applied to the counter electrode and a voltage applied to the pixel electrodes which voltages are different from each other, is always maintained when any of the pixel electrodes and supplementary capacitances leaks. This distinct supplementary capacitance driving technique has been incorporated into independent claims 1 and 19-23 (i.e. all independent claims except claim 11), thereby rendering them allowable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeda et al. (US 5,398,043).

Regarding claim 11, Takeda discloses an active-matrix liquid crystal display apparatus comprising: an active-matrix substrate including a plurality of scanning electrode lines [Fig. 1, 1], a plurality of data electrode lines [Fig. 1, 2], pixel electrodes [Fig. 1, A] and switching elements [Fig. 1, 3], the pixel electrodes being respectively connected to intersections of the plurality of scanning electrode lines and the plurality of data electrode lines via the switching elements; a counter electrode substrate including a counter electrode formed thereon, the counter

electrode being opposed to the pixel electrodes; a liquid crystal [Fig. 1, 7] sandwiched between the active matrix substrate and the counter electrode substrate; the active-matrix substrate further including supplementary capacitance lines which are formed in parallel to the scanning electrode lines, and supplementary capacitances [Fig. 1, 8] for holding display data which are connected between the pixel electrodes and the supplementary capacitance lines, the apparatus further comprising: a supplementary capacitance drive circuit [Fig. 1, Ve] for driving the supplementary capacitance lines so that a predetermined potential difference between the voltage applied to the counter electrode and the voltage applied to the pixel electrodes is always maintained when any of the pixel electrodes and supplementary capacitances leaks (see Column 6, Line 21 - Column 8, Line 50); wherein a display mode of the LCD apparatus is normally black (see Fig. 3; Column 9, Lines 41-58) and the supplementary capacitance drive circuit drives the supplementary capacitance so that a potential difference not less than a threshold voltage of the liquid crystal is maintained between the pixel electrodes and the counter electrode (see Column 8, Lines 12-34).

Takeda does not expressly disclose a display mode of the LCD apparatus being normally white. However, normally-white LCDs were well known and commonly understood at the time of invention. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to use a normally-white type liquid crystal apparatus as Takeda's LCD, so as to provide energy savings for a image display device that will oftentimes reside in a mainly white state.

Regarding claim 12, Takeda discloses the supplementary capacitance lines [Fig. 4, 17] are separated from every scanning electrode line [Fig. 4, 15] to which the switching element for switching driving a pixel potential difference connected through the supplementary capacitance

Art Unit: 2673

is connected at the intersection, and the supplementary capacitance drive circuit [Fig. 4, 13] drives the supplementary capacitance lines with a polarity being reversed every time an on-signal is input to the scanning electrode line driven at a stage preceding the scanning electrode line (see Fig. 5c; Column 8, Line 55 - Column 9, Line 40).

Regarding claim 13, Takeda discloses the switching element and the pixel electrode are disconnected from each other at a pixel where the leakage between the pixel electrode and the supplementary capacitance line occurs (see Figs. 2 & 5; Column 9, Line 1 - Column 10, Line 12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(a)

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

11. Claims 11-13 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Applicants' own admitted prior art.

Regarding claim 11, Applicants' own admitted prior art discloses an active-matrix liquid crystal display apparatus [Fig. 7, 1] comprising: an active-matrix substrate [Fig. 7, 2] including a plurality of scanning electrode lines [Fig. 8, 11], a plurality of data electrode lines [Fig. 8, 12], pixel electrodes [Fig. 8, 14] and switching elements [Fig. 8, 10], the pixel electrodes being respectively connected to intersections of the plurality of scanning electrode lines and the

plurality of data electrode lines via the switching elements; a counter electrode substrate [Fig. 7, 3] including a counter electrode [Fig. 8, 16] formed thereon, the counter electrode being opposed to the pixel electrodes; a liquid crystal [Fig. 8, C_{LC}] sandwiched between the active matrix substrate and the counter electrode substrate; the active-matrix substrate further including supplementary capacitance lines [Fig. 8, 15] which are formed in parallel to the scanning electrode lines, and supplementary capacitances [Fig. 8, C_s] for holding display data which are connected between the pixel electrodes and the supplementary capacitance lines, the apparatus further comprising: a supplementary capacitance drive circuit [Fig. 8, C_s] for driving the supplementary capacitance lines so that a predetermined potential difference between the voltage applied to the counter electrode and the voltage applied to the pixel electrodes is always maintained [wherein, the amount that remains after V_{com} is subtracted from V_{Cs} is always equal to zero] when any of the pixel electrodes and supplementary capacitances leaks (see Figs. 7-9 and Pages 1-6); wherein a display mode of the LCD apparatus is normally white (see Fig. 10A) and the supplementary capacitance drive circuit drives the supplementary capacitance so that a potential difference not less than a threshold voltage of the liquid crystal is maintained between the pixel electrodes and the counter electrode (see Pages 7-9).

Regarding claim 12, Applicants' own admitted prior art discloses the supplementary capacitance lines [Fig. 8, 15] are separated from every scanning electrode line [Fig. 8, 11] to which the switching element for switching driving a pixel potential difference connected through the supplementary capacitance is connected at the intersection, and the supplementary capacitance drive circuit [Fig. 8, C_s] drives the supplementary capacitance lines with a polarity

being reversed every time an on-signal is input to the scanning electrode line driven at a stage preceding the scanning electrode line (see Figs. 7-9 and Pages 1-6).

Regarding claim 13, Applicants' own admitted prior art discloses the switching element and the pixel electrode are disconnected from each other at a pixel where the leakage between the pixel electrode and the supplementary capacitance line occurs (see Figs. 7-9 and Pages 1-6).

Response to Arguments

12. Applicants' arguments filed 8 March 2004 (Paper No. 18) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive in regards to independent claim 11. The Applicants contend the cited prior art of Takeda et al. (US 5,398,043), as well as the Applicants' own admitted prior art, fails to disclose a supplementary capacitance drive circuit for driving the supplementary capacitance lines based on a voltage applied to the counter electrode so that a predetermined potential difference between the voltage applied to the counter electrode and a voltage applied to the pixel electrodes which voltages are different from each other, is always maintained when any of the pixel electrodes and supplementary capacitances leaks. However, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the contention that independent claim 11 includes any such subject matter. On the contrary, claim 11 recites driving the supplementary capacitance so that a potential difference not less than a threshold voltage of the liquid crystal is maintained between the pixel electrodes and the counter electrode. As detailed in the above rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) and 102, Takeda and the Applicants' own admitted prior art teach such subject matter. And by such

reasoning, rejection of claims 11-13 is deemed proper, necessary, and thereby maintained at this time.

Conclusion

13. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Piziali whose telephone number is (703) 305-8382. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30AM - 3PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (703) 305-4938. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



28 May 2004



BIPIN SHALWALA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600